



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/803,545	3,545 03/09/2001		Stanislaus Pietrucha JR.	2008-00100 7312	
23505	7590	09/20/2005		EXAMINER	
CONLEY F	•	C.		MCALLISTER	R, STEVEN B
P. O. BOX 3267 HOUSTON, TX 77253-3267				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,				3627	·········

DATE MAILED: 09/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		09/803,545	PIETRUCHA ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Steven B. McAllister	3627					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES IS IN (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONED	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Ju	ne 2005.						
2a)⊠		action is non-final.						
<u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
<u>-</u>	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>153-214</u> is/are pending in the application.							
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>153-178</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
-	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>179-214</u> is/are rejected.							
,	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers							
_	•	_						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
•	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction		• •					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
_			4.15 40					
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
			•					
Attachment	t(s)	•						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notic	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
• =	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)					
S Patent and Tr								

Application/Control Number: 09/803,545

Art Unit: 3627

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 186, 190 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 186 is indefinite because it recites that the category "may comprise".

Claim 190 is indefinite because it is not clear whether "individually purchasable" means that the features can be bought separate from a package, but still only with a plan, or that the features can be bought entirely individually, without buying a plan.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim 179-214 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McKinley (2001/0044743) in view of LetsTalk.com.

Mckinley shows maintaining a database comprising product or service offerings available to a geographic location; generating a summary of one or more product or service offerings available from one or more providers available to the location; and transmitting the summary. McKinley does not show that offerings in a plurality of categories are maintained; presenting the user with the offering categories; or receiving

Application/Control Number: 09/803,545

Art Unit: 3627

a selection of a category. LetsTalk shows maintaining offerings in a plurality of categories (e.g., wireless service plans, pagers, and wireless phones); presenting the user with the offering categories; and receiving a selection of a category. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the method of McKinley as taught by LetsTalk in order to allow the user more choices, and to provide for greater revenue by selling a greater variety of services and products.

As to claim 198, it is noted that cell service plans, pagers, and cell phones are have a geographic area in which they are sold (e.g., a phone designed for use with Cellular One is only sold in that company's service area).

Alternatively as to claim 198, McKinley in view of Letstalk show all elements except for the product plans being sold only in geographic areas. However, it is notoriously old and well known in the art to do so. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to further modify the method of McKinley such that the product plans have a geographic area in order to avoid selling equipment that will not work in an area.

As to claims 179-183, 186-189, 193-197, 199-202, 205-207, 210-214

As to claims 184, 185, 203 and 204, McKinley in view of LetsTalk shows all elements of the claim except that the user can manage a user service account to perform at least pay for the service. However, it is notoriously old and well known in the art to allow a user to manage his account enabling him to pay for the service. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to further modify the method of McKinley by allowing a user to manage his account, enabling him to pay for the service

in order to increase customer satisfaction through added convenience, and to further facilitate getting paid for the service.

As to claim 190, McKinley in view of LetsTalk shows storing and manipulating information regarding plans, packages, and features, wherein the plans are the base offerings, the package is a collection of features purchasable together, and the feature indicates an augmentation to the base plan (e.g., a cell phone plan; a cell phone plan package having the plan and a leather case for a phone; and features comprising accessories which are augmentations to the plans that are purchasable individually.)

As to claims 191 and 208, McKinley in view of LetsTalk shows all elements of the claim except providing an account for providing customization elements. However, to do so is notoriously old and well known in the art (e.g., as in Tobin). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to do so in order to increase traffic to the site via additional portals to the customized site.

As to claims 192 and 209, McKinley in view of LetsTalk shows all elements except providing an account for tracking usage. However, to do so is notoriously old and well known in the art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to further modify the method of McKinley by providing an account for tracking usage in order to provide feedback to the providers, allowing them to gauge customer desires and craft better service plans.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 09/803,545

Art Unit: 3627

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven B. McAllister whose telephone number is 571-272-6785. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 8-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexander Kalinowski can be reached on 571-272-6771. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3627

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Steven B. McAllister Primary Examiner Art Unit 3627

Steven B. McAllister

8LB milles

STEVE B. MCALLISTER PRIMARY EXAMINER